

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 PERCY LAVAE BACON,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 RANDY LAWELL, STEPHEN C.
11 WEBSTER, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

2:05-CV-01267-PMP-GWF

ORDER

14 On January 26, 2006, this Court entered an Order (Doc. #9) granting
15 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. On February 22, 2006, the
16 Court entered judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff (Doc. #10). No
17 appeal was taken by Plaintiff Bacon from the ruling of this Court dismissing his
18 Complaint.

19 Nearly, five years later, on November 19, 2010, Plaintiff Bacon filed the
20 following three Motion's:

21 (Doc. #16) Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint;

22 (Doc. #17) Motion for Stipulation to Extend Time Beyond the
23 Five Year Statute of Limitations to Bring a Matter to Trial; and

24 (Doc. #18) Motion for an Order to Instruct the Clerk to Furnish
Plaintiff with Six File-Stamped Copies of Amended Complaint.

25 Having read and considered the foregoing, the Court finds the above Motions are
26 not appropriately filed in this action which has been closed for nearly a half of

1 decade. If Plaintiff Bacon believes he has a basis for filing a new lawsuit, he
2 certainly may attempt to do so. However, trying to amend the complaint in a case
3 which was dismissed in January 2006 is inappropriate.

4 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff Percy Bacon's Motion to
5 Amend/Correct Complaint (Doc. #16), Motion for Stipulation to Extend Time
6 Beyond the Five Year Statute of Limitations to Bring a Matter to Trial (Doc. #17),
7 and Motion for an Order to Instruct the Clerk to Furnish Plaintiff with Six File-
8 Stamped Copies of Amended Complaint (Doc. #18) are **DENIED**.

9
10 DATED: November 29, 2010.

11
12 
13 PHILIP M. PRO
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26